

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/815,880 | 03/31/2004 | Veeraraghavan Srinivasan | 147201-1 | 9067 |
| 43248 | 7590 03/21/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| CANTOR COLBURN LLP - GE PLASTICS - SMITH | | | WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA | |
| | 55 GRIFFIN RD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | -, | | 1711 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/815,880 | SRINIVASAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ana L. Woodward | 1711 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) // is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) // are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and policinate may not request that any objection to the description and | vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/815,880 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-24, drawn to a 2-hydrocarbyl-3,3-bis(4-hydroxyaryl)phthalimidine monomer, classified in class 544, subclass various.

- II. Claims 25-27 and 30-75, drawn to a polymer comprising units derived from the hydrocarbyl-3,3-bis(4-hydroxyaryl)phthalimidine monomer, classified in class 528, subclass various.
- III. Claims 28, 29 and 76, drawn to a composition comprising a thermoplastic polymer and the polymer comprising units derived from the hydrocarbyl-3,3-bis(4-hydroxyaryl)phthalimidine monomer, classified in class 525, subclass various.
- 2. The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as is in and of itself without the presence of additional reactants, which would result in the production of a mutually exclusive final product, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

3. Inventions II and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a

Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

molding composition without the presence of additional ingredients that would react in-situ to produce a mutually exclusive final product and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. If one of groups II or III is elected, claims 25-76 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: the various types of polymers derived from the inventive monomer. The election of an ultimate species of polymer (inclusive of specific reactants used to derive it) is requested. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. If group III is elected, claim 28, 29 and 76 are further generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: the various materials defining the thermoplastic polymer. The election of an ultimate species of thermoplastic polymer is requested. Applicant is required

Application/Control Number: 10/815,880

Art Unit: 1711

under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,880 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll₇ free).

Ana L. Woodward Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711
